

	UNCLASSIFIED SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE (When Date Entered)	12)			
(A)	REPORT DOCUMENTATION PAGE	READ INSTRUCTIONS BEFORE COMPLETING FORM			
77 K	T-GD-TR-80-1 2. GOVT ACCESSION NO. AU - AOSS 4	3. RECIPIENT'S CATALOG NUMBER			
	Photographic Spectroscopic Measurement of 7 Ultraviolet Solid Rocket Motor Plumes.	Final Report. 6. PENFORMING ORL RESORT NUMBER GD-TR-80-1			
0//	Dennis R. Keefer, William J. Phillips & /- Kenneth E. Harwell	N60530-78-C-0020			
€ 4: e	9. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME AND ADDRESS University of Tennessee Space Institute Tullahoma, Tennessee	10. PROGRAM ELEMENT, PROJECT, TASK AREA & WORK UNIT NUMBERS Task SH 3791 282392 Program Element 02332N Project ZF32-392-002			
0	Controlling office NAME AND ADDRESS Commander, Code 39403 Naval Weapons Center China Lake, CA 93555	12. REPORT DATE February 7, 1980 113. NUMBER OF PAGES			
HU H	14. MONITORING AGENCY NAME & ADDRESS(II different from Controlling Office)	UNCLASSIFIED 15a. DECLASSIFICATION/DOWNGRADING SCHEDULE			
	Approved for public release; distribution unlimited.				
	17. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the abstract entered in Block 20, if different fro	m Report)			
	18. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES	A			
	19. KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse aide if necessary and identify by block number) Solid Rocket Exhaust Plumes Exhaust Plume Signatures Spectroscopy of Solid Rocket Exhaust Plumes Optical Signatures				
	a nominal bandpass of 0.4nm were obtained from the rocket motor exhausts in the spectral region 250nm sisted primarily of a continuum with some molecula found to originate from the molecules 0H, Pb0, NO were more pronounced in the region 290 nm to 320 n involved extend to shorter wavelengths. The source was not determined explicitly, but is not inconsis	radiation from several solid to 430 nm. The spectra con- ir band structure which was & CHO. These molecular bands m, but the radiating systems of the continuum radiation			
ĺ	DD 1 JAN 73 1473 EDITION OF 1 NOV 65 IS OBSOLETE UNCLAS				

INSTRUCTIONS FOR PREPARATION OF REPORT DOCUMENTATION PAGE

RESPONSIBILITY. The controlling DoD office will be responsible for completion of the Report Documentation Page, DD Form 1473, in all technical reports prepared by or for DoD organizations.

CLASSIFICATION. Since this Report Documentation Page, DD Form 1473, is used in preparing announcements, bibliographies, and data banks, it should be unclassified if possible. If a classification is required, identify the classified items on the page by the appropriate

COMPLETION GUIDE

Same .

- General. Make Blocks 1, 4, 5, 6, 7, 11, 13, 15, and 16 agree with the corresponding information on the report cover. Leave Blocks 2 and 3 blank
 - Block 1. Report Number. Enter the unique alphanumeric report number shown on the cover.
 - Block 2. Government Accession No. Leave Blank. This space is for use by the Defense Documentation Center.
- Block 3. Recipient's Catalog Number. Leave blank. This space is for the use of the report recipient to assist in future retrieval of the document.
- Block 4. Title and Subtitle. Enter the title in all capital letters exactly as it appears on the publication. Titles should be unclassified whenever possible. Write out the English equivalent for Greek letters and mathematical symbols in the title (see "Abstracting Scientific and Technical Reports of Defense-sponsored RDT/E," AD-667 000). If the report has a subtitle, this subtitle should follow the main title, be separated by a comma or semicolon if appropriate, and be initially capitalized. If a publication has a title in a foreign language, translate the title into English and follow the English translation with the title in the original language.

 Make every effort to simplify the title before publication. Make every effort to simplify the title before publication.
- Block 5. Type of Report and Period Covered. Indicate here whether report is interim, final, etc., and, if applicable, inclusive dates of period covered, such as the life of a contract covered in a final contractor report.
- Block 6. Performing Organization Report Number. Only numbers other than the official report number shown in Block 1, such as series numbers for in-house reports or a contractor, grantee number assigned by him, will be placed in this space. If no such numbers are used, leave this space blank.
- Block 7. Author(s). Include corresponding information from the report cover. Give the name(s) of the author(s) in conventional order (for example, John R. Doe or, if author prefers. J. Robert Doe). In addition, list the affiliation of an author is it differs from that of the performing organization.
- Block 8. Contract or Grant Number(s). For a contractor or grantee report, enter the complete contract or grant number(s) under which the work reported was accomplished. Leave blank in in-house reports.
- Block 9. Performing Organization Name and Address. For in-house reports enter the name and address, including office symbol, of the performing activity. For contractor or grantee reports enter the name and address of the contractor or grantee who prepared the report and identify the appropriate corporate division, school, laboratory, etc., of the author. List city, state, and ZIP Code.
- Block 10. Program Element, Project, Task Area, and Work Unit Numbers. Enter here the number code from the applicable Department of Defense form, such as the DD Form 1498, "Research and Technology Work Unit Summary" or the DD Form 1634. "Research and Development Planning Summary," which identifies the program element, project, task area, and work unit or equivalent under which the work was authorized.
- Block 11. Controlling Office Name and Address. Enter the full, official name and address, including office symbol, of the controlling office. (Equates to funding/sponsoring agency. For definition see DoD Directive 5200.20, "Distribution Statements on Technical Documents.")
 - Block 12. Report Date. Enter here the day, month, and year or month and year as shown on the cover,
 - Block 13. Number of Pages. Enter the total number of pages.
- Block 14. Monitoring Agency Name and Address (if different from Controlling Office). For use when the controlling or funding office does not directly administer a project, contract, or grant, but delegates the administrative responsibility to another organization.
- Blocks 15 & 15a. Security Classification of the Report: Declassification/Downgrading Schedule of the Report. Enter in 15 the highest classification of the report. If appropriate, enter in 15a the declassification/downgrading schedule of the report, using the abbreviations for declassification/downgrading schedules listed in paragraph 4-207 of DoD 5200.1-R.
- Block 16. Distribution Statement of the Report. Insert here the applicable distribution statement of the report from DoD Directive 5200.20. "Distribution Statements on Technical Documents."
- Block 17. Distribution Statement (of the abstract entered in Block 20, if different from the distribution statement of the report). Insert here the applicable distribution statement of the abstract from DoD Directive 5200,20, "Distribution Statements on Technical Documents.
- Block 18. Supplementary Notes. Enter information not included elsewhere but useful, such as: Prepared in cooperation with . . . Translation of (or by) . . . Presented at conference of . . . To be published in . . .
- Block 19. Key Words. Select terms or short phrases that identify the principal subjects covered in the report, and are sufficiently specific and precise to be used as index entries for cataloging, conforming to standard terminology. The DoD "Thesaurus of Engineering and Scientific Terms" (TEST). AD-672 000, can be helpful.
- Block 20. Abstract. The abstract should be a brief (not to exceed 200 words) factual summary of the most significant information contained in the report. If possible, the abstract of a classified report should be unclassified and the abstract to an unclassified report should consist of publicly- releasable information. If the report contains a significant bibliography or literature survey, mention it here. For information on preparing abstracts see "Abstracting Scientific and Technical Reports of Defense-Sponsored RDT&E," AD-667 000.

\$U.S. GOVERNMENT PRINTING OFFICE 1973: 713.648/3361 2-1

PHOTOGRAPHIC SPECTROSCOPIC MEASUREMENT OF ULTRAVIOLET SOLID ROCKET MOTOR PLUMES

Final Report By

Dennis R. Keefer William J. Phillips Kenneth E. Harwell

Accession For						
NTIS GRARI DDC TAB Unamaounced Just(fignation						
Ву						
Distribution/						
Availability Coles						
Dist	Availand, or special					
A						

February 7, 1980

US Naval Weapons Center Contract No. N60530-78-C-0020



The University of Tennessee Space Institute
Tullahoma, Tennessee

Distin

I. Introduction

The optical signature of a solid propellant rocket motor contains a significant component in the solar-blind ultraviolet region of the spectrum (250 - 300 nm). This radiation is an attractive source for missile detection, since the virtual absence of solar radiation in this region results in very low background noise. The development of solar-blind photomultiplier tubes and optical filters with a sharp low frequency cut-off makes it possible to develop sensitive warning detectors which are not readily susceptible to false alarms.

The mechanisms responsible for the production of solar-blind radiation in a solid propellent rocket plume are not well understood. Low resolution spectra indicate that the radiation is essentially a continuum, rising in intensity as the wavelength increases from 250 nm to 300 nm in a manner similar to a blackbody with a temperature near 3000 ck.

Several mechanisms have been proposed which could contribute to this radiation. One source is radiation from particles in the plume. Since the plume temperature is typically considerably less than 3000°K, the particle radiation would not exhibit the observed spectral distribution unless the particles were at a higher temperature than the plume, or the emissivity decreased with increasing wavelength. The first possibility was discussed by Mann et al (1) who suggested that aluminum oxide particles may be found by sublimation at a temperature higher than that of the plume. The second possibility is suggested by a discussion of the radiation from small particles given by McGregor (2). Regardless of the details of the mechanism, particle radiation appears to contribute to the

total solar-blind radiation, and it is observed that the intensity increases considerably in those plumes in which the particle density is high.

Another possible source of radiation in the solarblind ultraviolet region is chemi-luminescence. Molecules formed in the plume in excited electronic states decay to a lower energy state producing radiation characteristic of the molecule.

Several molecules which could be present in rocket plumes have emission bands which lie within the 250 - 300 nm range. The most likely candidates are OH, ${\rm CO}_2$ and CHO. Other possible emitters include ${\rm O}_2$, NO and CO.

Previous spectral measurements of rocket plumes have employed scanning monochrometers to record the spectrum. Since there are relatively large fluctuations in the overall plume intensity during the duration of a spectral scan, it is difficult to differentiate between intensity fluctuations and spectral features. For this reason, and the necessity to perform a complete spectral scan during the comparatively brief duration of the firing, the effective spectral resolution has been the order of 2 - 5 nm. This is inadequate to resolve the characteristic spectra of the various molecules which are candidate emitters.

Photographic spectra, time integrated over the total firing duration, have been obtained which have an effective bandpass of approximately 0.4 nm. These spectra were obtained during static test firings of several tactical missiles at Allegany Ballistic Laboratory during August, 1979. An earlier attempt to obtain similar spectra during the firing of several model rockets at the Naval Ordinance Station were largely unsuccessful as a result of scattered light from a concurrent ultraviolet scattering experiment and the sun.

The results of these experimental measurements are reported herein. They indicate that in the region 250 - 300 nm the radiation is largely continuous in nature, but there are some contributions from molecular species, particularly at the longer wavelength range. Significant radiation was observed from the previously unreported molecule PbO in several of the missile exhaust plumes. Band radiation from PbO and OH and continuum radiation from CO₂ may contribute to the apparently large radiation temperature in the 250 - 300 nm spectral range.

II. Experimental Procedure

The objectives for this experiment were to obtain spectra with sufficient resolution to determine whether molecular band emission was present in solid propellent rocket plumes in the 250 - 300 nm region and to identify the molecules responsible for the emission. In order to satisfy these objectives the highest possible spectral resolution was required. Photographic recording of the spectra was chosen to satisfy the requirement for high resolution.

The intensity of radiation produced by a rocket plume is not constant, but fluctuates in time. In a scanning spectrometer these fluctuations may be interpreted as spectral features. Normalization of the scanned data with respect to the total radiation from the plume is insufficient to remove this uncertainty, since not all spectral regions will share the same temporal fluctuations. With photographic spectra all spectral regions are recorded simultaneously and integrated over the entire firing duration by the photographic process. This insures that relatively narrow spectral features are not masked by intensity fluctuations. Temporal resolution of the data is lost, but this has little effect on species identification.

One drawback of the photographic method is the lack of linearity and relative insensitivity when compared with photoelectric methods. The sensitivity of the film limits the resolution which can be obtained to considerably less than that which is theoritically possible with moderate size spectrographs. Since the firing duration is typically of the order of one second, the slits must be opened wide enough that sufficient energy is admitted to obtain a proper exposure. Even for the relatively sensitive film used for the ABL test series (Kodak Royal X-Pan) the required slit opening effectively limited the bandpass to approximately 0.4 nm.

The spectrometer was used without external optics, and placed as near as possible to the source, to insure that the source filled as much of the instrument field-of-view as possible. This provides the maximum possible exposure.

The experimental layout used for the test series at ABL is shown in Figure 1. The spectrograph was a 1 meter focal length Czerny-Turner instrument manufactured by Jarrell-Ash. Equipped with a grating having 1180 grooves/mm, the nominal dispersion in the 250 - 300 nm range was 0.8 nm/mm. The maximum slit opening of 0.5 nm was used resulting in a nominal bandpass of 0.4 nm.

The nominal aperture of the instrument is f/8 but the field-of-view is determined by the rectangular grating. For the test series at ABL the angle between the plume axis and the line-of-sight was 63.1°, and the entrance slit was placed 246 cm from the intersection of the plume axis and the line-of-sight. This instrument placement resulted in a field-of-view which was 26.5 cm x 26.5 cm at the plume axis.

Alignment of the spectrometer field-of-view was insured by use of a He-Ne laser. The laser beam was expanded and passed through the exit slit of the spectrometer to overfill the spectrometer optics. The wavelength was set to 632.8 nm and the beam passing out of the entrance slit defined the field-of-view at the plume axis.

The photographic spectra were recorded on Kodak
Royal X-Pan, 4" x 5" estar base film sheets. Prior to
exposure to the emission from the rocket plume, three
calibration exposures were given to each film sheet.
The first of these was a strip exposed through a calibrated step density filter with light from a photographic
enlarger. This permits determination of the H-D curve
for the film for each exposure. The second exposure was
a strip exposed to a calibrated deuterium lamp with the
line spectrum from a low pressure mercury lamp superimposed.
This procedure insures that both wavelength and relative
intensity of the rocket plume spectra can be determined
from the developed film sheet.

Once exposed, the film sheet was taken to the photographic laboratory at ABL and developed for 8 minutes in Kodak D-11 developer followed by 30 seconds in a stop bath and 8 minutes in Kodak fixer. The developed film sheets were then washed and allowed to air dry.

During the first test series at NOS it was noted that for spectral regions of wavelength greater than the solar blind limit (> 300 nm) the spectra were contaminated by radiation scattered from the rocket plume or the background. This precluded the use of these spectral regions for species identification. One exception was recorded early in the morning on a heavily overcast day (Test 1-C). Further,

within the solar blind region (< 300 nm) the spectra were contaminated by radiation scattered by the plume from a 200 watt mercury-xenon lamp being used as a source, in another experiment, for simultaneous transmission measurements.

Ξ.

In order to avoid these complications the ABL tests were, at our request, performed at night, and all light sources having the capability of emitting in the ultraviolet region of the spectrum were omitted during this test series. Therefore, the results presented in the next section were obtained from spectra which truly represent the emission from the rocket plume, free from any extraneous sources.

III. Results

Each film strip obtained during the test series at ABL and test 1-C at NOL was examined in an attempt to determine whether any molecular species contributed to the radiation. Each spectrum covered approximately 60 nm. For those missiles of which duplicates were fired, the spectrum for the first missile fired covered the range 250 nm to 310 nm, and for the second missile fired the spectral range was 310 nm to 370 nm. In one instance it was possible to obtain a spectrum for the range 370 nm to 430 nm.

A Jarrell-Ash scanning densitometer was used to record the film density onto a paper strip chart for each spectrum obtained. These records were examined to determine the molecular species present. Figure 2 shows a densitometer record for test 1-C, obtained at NOS. Although it is the only usable record from the NOS test series, it is typical of many of the spectra obtained at ABL.

The identification of molecular species from photographic spectra such as these is, necessarily, somewhat subjective. Positive identification with a large degree of confidence can be made only if several different spectral features (vibrational bands) of the molecule can be found. The relative intensity and shading of these bands should correlate reasonably well with other reported spectra of the candidate molecule if a positive identification is to be made.

In the region 250 - 310 nm the radiation consists of a continuum whose intensity increases rapidly with wavelength. Any molecular structure is superimposed on this continuum and relative intensities are difficult to determine. This is particularly true because of the non-linear response of the photographic material. The variation in intensity of the spectrum in this region was usually sufficient to span both the "toe" and "knee" regions of the H-D curve. In the 310 to 370 nm region the continuum radiation is quite strong, but much more uniform in intensity. Therefore, the relative intensities of various molecular features were much easier to determine, and it was often possible to make species identifications with a greater degree of confidence.

Preliminary investigation showed that the following species might be present in some or all of the spectra:

- 1. OH $(A^2 \ \Sigma^+ \ X^2 \pi)$ This consists of a system of multiple headed bands whose strongest feature is the 0,0 band at 306.4 nm. The system extends from 347 nm down to 244 nm with a relatively strong 1,0 band at 281 nm.
- 2. PbO Two systems are found in the region 250 370 nm. These are: System D, 359 321 nm, whose

strongest features are the 2,3 band at 348.5 nm and the 0,1 band at 340.2 nm, and System E, 306 - 278 nm, whose strongest feature is the 2,1 band at 286.6 nm.

- 3. NO Two systems occur in the 250 370 nm region. the β system is an extensive system of double headed bands degraded to the red extending from 243 527 nm. The δ system is a system of double headed bands degraded to the violet which extends from 196 346 nm.
- 4. CHO- A system of red degraded bands extending from 242 - 409 nm. The most intensive bands occur in the 270 - 350 nm region.
- 5. CO₂- The spectrum of CO-O₂ flames exhibits a band structure superimposed on a recombination continuum. The bands are relatively indistinct for normal flames at atmospheric pressure. The continuum intensity peaks at approximately 430 nm and extends below 300 nm.

Each of the spectra were examined to determine if the species listed above were present. In light of the subjective nature of this determination the probability that the spectrum contained evidence of a particular species was assigned as follows:

1. Species which are designated I have a high probability of being present. This category is applied only if several bands of a system are distinct, have proper shading and have a reasonable relative intensity correlation.

- 2. Species which are designated 2 are probably present. This category is applied when there are two or more features present at the proper wavelength, but which may not have a strong relative intensity correlation.
- 3. Species which are designated 3 are considered to be possible. This is an indication that at least one spectral feature appears at the proper wavelength.

Each spectrum was examined and the probability that a species was present was assigned using the criteria described above. The results appear in Table I. From the entries in the table it is evident that OH is present in most of the spectra in the range 250 - 310 nm and many of the spectra in the 310 - 370 nm region. There is strong radiation from PbO in several spectra in the range 310 - 370 nm and it is probably in several of the spectra in the 250 - 310 nm region. None of the other species appear strong enough to make a positive identification. The frequency with which NO was judged probable, suggests that it is present in at least some of the tests. The lack of a positive identification of CO₂ from its band spectrum is not surprising in light of the fact that the radiation is dominated by the continuum at atmospheric pressure.

In the one spectrum taken in the wavelength region 370 - 430 nm the film was, unfortunately, heavily exposed. However, the intensity increased with wavelength up to 430 nm as would be expected for the CO + O recombination continuum. There was no evidence of molecular band radiation in this spectrum.

The dominant characteristic of the radiation in the 250 - 300 nm spectral region is a continuum which increases

rapidly with wavelength. This radiation is probably due to a combination of particle radiation, CO + O recombination and contributions from OH and PbO chemiluminescence. The contributions from the molecular species are strongest at the long wavelength end of this range and would make the increase in total radiation with wavelength even more pronounced. This may explain the fact that graybody radiation temperatures for this spectral region often exceed the plume temperature.

IV. Summary and Conclusions

Photographic spectra having a nominal bandpass of 0.4 nm were obtained from the radiation from several solid rocket motor exhausts in the spectral region 250 nm to 430 nm. The spectra consisted primarily of a continuum with some molecular band structure which was found to originate from the molecules OH, PbO, NO and CHO. These molecular bands were more pronounced in the region 290 nm to 320 nm, but the radiating systems involved extend to shorter wavelengths. The source of the continuum radiation was not determined explicitly, but is not inconsistent with a CO + O recombination mechanism combined with thermal emission from particulates.

If further spectroscopic measurements are made, we recommend that the region 300-500 nm be given particular attention to determine whether the continuum radiation exhibits the peak near 430 nm characteristic of the CO+0 continuum.

REFERENCES

- (1) D. M. Mann, T. D. McCay, E. Steinbrenner and W. A. Danne, "Initial In Situ Plume Property Measurements In a SRM Exhaust", 11th JANNAF Exhaust Plume Technology Meeting.
- (2) W. K. McGregor, "On the Radiation From Small Particles", J-Wuant. Spectrosc. Radiat. Transfer, 19, 659, 664 (1978).
- (3) R. W. B. Pearse and A. G. Gaydon, <u>The Identification</u>
 of Molecular Spectra, 3rd Ed., Chapman and Hall
 Limited, London (1963).

TABLE I

TEST NO.	WAVELENGTH (nm)	ОН	РЬО	NO	СНО	PЬ
27491	250 - 310	1	2	3		
27492	310 - 370		3			
27493	250 - 310	3	3			
27494	310 - 370		2			X *
27495	370 - 430	strong	conti	luum no	structure	X *
27496	250 - 310	strong	conti	סמ מטטנ	structure	X*
27497	250 - 310	strong	conti	nuum no	structure	X *
27498	250 - 310	1	2	3	3	
27499	310 - 370		1		3	
27500	250 - 310	1		3	2	
27501	310 - 370		1	2		X*
27502	250 - 310	1	2		3	
27503	310 - 370		1	2		X*
27504	250 - 310	1	3		3	
27506	310 - 370	3.	1	2		X*
27507	250 - 310	1	2	2		
27508	310 - 370	3	1	3		X*
27509	250 - 310	1		2	2	
27510	310 - 370	2	2	3		
1-C	300 - 332	2	1	2	3	

The sumbol X indicates that emission lines from the atomic spectrum of lead (PbI) were observed. The observed lines were of wavelength 368.3, 364.0 and 282.3 nm.

MOLECULAR SPECIES OBSERVED IN THE PHOTOGRAPHIC SPECTRA. THE SYMBOL 1 INDICATES HIGHLY PROBABLY, 2 INDICATES PROBABLE AND 3 INDICATES POSSIBLE.




FIGURE 1. Experimental configuration for the tests at ABL.

1	
• •	
and the second of the second s	
	Fisos Igh
	£.40£ cn £.40£ cn
	P.90E HO
	CHO 28114 17 27272 10 2721 143 2731
	L'SIE ON
	#-9z5 09↓
	F.F.E 049

Densitometer scan of the photographic spectrum of test 1-C obtained, at NOL. The spectral features which were identified are shown below together with their wavelength. The sharp intense lines belong to the superimposed mercury spectrum used for wavelength calibration.

FIGURE 2. De